Developing Innovative Bio-Nano Catalysts Well Clean Up Fluid to	1
Remove Formation Damage by Polymeric Water-Based Drilling	2
Fluids	3
	4
Mojtaba Kalhor Mohammadi ^a , Siavash Riahi ^{ab1} , Edo S. Boek ^c	5
^a Department of Petroleum Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Kish International Campus, University of Tehran, Iran	7 8 9
^b Institute of Petroleum Engineering, Faculty of Chemical Engineering, College of Engineering, University of Tehran, Iran	10 11 12
^c Division of Chemical Engineering & Renewable Energy, School of Engineering and Materials Science, Queen Mary University of London, United Kingdom	12 13 14 15 16
	17
	18
Abstract	19
Drilling and completion fluids may cause significant formation damage in oil and gas	20
reservoirs, directly affecting productivity. For many years, operators have applied different	21
stimulation practices such as acidizing, oxidizers, chelating agents, and enzyme treatment to	22
remove formation damage associated with drilling fluids filter cake. An enzyme-based	23
biological treatment was combined with other chemicals or additives as a selective well clean-	24
up practice to improve removing polymer content in the filter cake. However, the secondary	25

¹ - riahi@ut.ac.ir

formation damage such as deep cleaning of the invaded zone and wettability alteration 26 remained the main concern. 27

This paper presents the development of an innovative clean-up fluid formulation by 28 immobilizing an enzyme and a selective nanoparticle as Bio-Nano Well Clean Up Fluid 29 (BNWC) in potassium chloride brine to enhance WBM filter cake removal. Several bulk 30 experiments, including; precipitation, iodine test, and viscosity measurement, demonstrate the 31 enzyme's optimization, the nanoparticle concentration, and base fluid brine. BNWC in 32 potassium chloride brine showed the highest HPHT filtration rate at 200 ⁰F and differential 33 pressure of 100 psi and increased the filtration rate by more than 90 percent compared to the 34 conventional enzyme in the same brine. Contact angle measurements confirmed wettability 35 alteration of the carbonate rock to water wet, and IFT measurements showed higher oil mobility 36 potential. Finally, core flooding tests at reservoir conditions showed a 300 percent 37 enhancement in injection rate and a 50% improvement in core permeability after damage. 38

The immobilization of the enzyme with the nanoparticle has been developed successfully for 39 other applications in bioremediation, farming, and other industries, but the novelty of this 40 research demonstrates the application of nanobiocatalysts in drilling fluids for the first time. 41 This innovative clean-up fluid enhances the enzymatic activity and removes primary and 42 secondary formation damage associated with drilling fluid filter cake. 43

44

Keywords: Well clean-up, Production Enhancement, filter cake breaker, Formation damage, 45 nanobiocatalyst 46

47

	49
	50
List of Abbreviations	51
	52
WBM: Water Based Mud	53
OBM: Oil Based Mud	54
HPHT: High Pressure and High Temperature	55
SEM: Scanning Electron Microscopy	56
XRD: X-ray diffraction	57
FTIR: Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy	58
PSD: Particle Size Distribution	59
EDTA: Ethylene Diamine Tetraacetic Acid	60
HEDTA: Hydroxy Ethylene Diamine Tetraacetic Acid	61
DTPA: Diethylene Triamine Penta Acetate	62
NTA: Nitrilo Triacetic Acid	63
PAC: Poly Anionic Cellulose	64
EOR: Enhanced Oil Recovery	65
	66
	67
	68
	69
	70
	71
	72
	73
	74

1. Introduction

Filter cakes generated by drilling fluids can impede porous media permeability and reduce well 76 productivity (Hodge et al., 1997). The filter cake is generally heterogeneous and contains two 77 layers. The layer close to the rock has weighting agent materials, and the other side is close to 78 fluid flow with polymers. This layer prevents a proper reaction between acid or solvent with 79 solids (Elkatatny et al., 2012). Uniform dissolution of the filter cake requires a delayed reaction 80 breaker fluid at the laboratory scale by optimizing concentration, determining the appropriate 81 carrying fluid, and addressing environmental and corrosion concerns (Natalia Collins et al., 82 2011). 83

1.1. WBM Filter Cake Removal with Conventional Practices

Acidizing and Oxidizing agents such as ammonium persulfate, lithium hypochlorite, sodium 85 chlorite, and hydrogen peroxide are regularly used in the well clean-up process. They are not 86 specific nor controllable and can react with downhole, formation, and reservoir fluid 87 components. They can produce wormholes and divert the reactive solution. Also, acids and 88 oxidizers may react with the tubular, which results in iron precipitation and injection into the 89 reservoir, which can plug the porous media. It does not affect the non-polymeric part of filter 90 cake and solids. Therefore, a two-stage treatment is recommended to inject enzyme-based well 91 clean-up before acidizing (B. Beall et al., 1996). Hodge et al. stated that oxidizers were 92 ineffective in removing polymer damage, especially in horizontal wells (Hodge et al., 1997). 93 Brannon and Tjon concluded that acids and oxidizers attacked any active sites on polymer 94 strands, and they did not react with the polymer backbone. They left partially degraded and 95 untreated polymer strands (Brannon, 1994). 96

Chelating agents such as EDTA, HEDTA, DTPA, and NTA are common alternatives to acidbased systems, but there are environmental and safety issues regarding this application. EDTA 98

and HEDTA are safe but not biodegradable. NTA is biodegradable, but it has a potential hazard
to humans. Metal chelating agents have been used as iron control agents in acidizing treatments
with low solubility and high toxicity. The experimental results show loss of solubility of
101
calcium complexes and thermal decomposition of ferrous complexes at low temperatures
(Collins et al., 2011).

GLDA and HEDTA were not compatible with alpha-nominated solutions over a wide range of 104 pH and temperature (Elkatatny et al., 2012). By increasing the sulfate and calcium ion 105 concentrations, inorganic scale precipitation rose, and formation damage occurred (Ghasemian 106 et al., 2019). Incompatibility of injected water and reservoir water can cause mineral scale. A 107 particular scale inhibitor was observed to reduce mineral precipitation (Mohammadi et al., 108 2020). In this research paper, we further investigate the application of nanotechnology to 109 reduce fines migration by decreasing zeta potential, changing the total energy interaction 110 between surfaces, pH, and particle roughness (Madadizadeh et al. 2020). 111

112

1.2. WBM Filter Cake Removal with Biological Practices

Water-based drilling or drill-in-fluids typically contains starch, cellulose, and xanthan gam-113 based polymers with solids such as barite, calcium carbonate, and salts, building a filter cake 114 to minimize invasion into the formation. Burnet et al. mentioned that polymers might coat 115 calcium carbonate particles and act as a barrier that minimizes acid contact with the filter cake 116 (Burnett 1995). Conventionally, clean-up fluids remove filter cake residue by displacing 117 enzyme-based well clean-up fluid in the open hole section (Price-Smith et al., 1996). Enzymes 118 are proteins produced by living organisms' cells, which act as a catalyst to promote specific 119 reactions. They have particular limitations regarding chemical, thermal or mechanical 120 conditions. This method mitigates diversion and corrosion problems but designing specific-121 enzyme-based well clean-up is not easy compared to other well clean-up practices (Beall et al., 122

1996). It was observed that wellbore soaking treatment using a particular enzyme improved 123 productivity (Navarrete et al., 2000). Experiments on specific polymer linkage enzymes to 124 break the filter cake showed good efficiency on filter cake clean-up in completion and 125 workover operation (Moore et al., 1996). Without using any acid, biological treatment and 126 oxidizers demonstrated filter cake removal in a clean formation in stand-alone pre-packed 127 screen, slotted-liner completion in the North Sea (Price-Smith et al., 1998). Non-specific 128 enzymes randomly hydrolyze the polymers, producing insoluble crosslink chains and causing 129 permeability damage (Luyster et al., 2000). 130

131

1.3. Biological Treatment Performance Enhancement

Different additives improved biological activity specifically for enzyme-based well clean-up 132 fluids. A surfactant-based carrier fluid in a breaker solution containing acid, enzyme, and a 133 chelating agent was used to ensure full contact with filter cake during gravel packing. The 134 results showed that slow reaction and low corrosion rate were advantageous (Parlar et al., 135 1998). Filter cake removal fluids that contained 5% enzyme, 3% acetic acid, and 1% surfactant 136 showed the highest cleaning performance (Bisweswar Ghosh et al., 2019). A non-damaging 137 fluid for a depleted high-temperature reservoir assessed the productivity by using a 138 chelant/enzyme breaker fluid in an onshore field in Brazil based on potassium chloride brine, 139 calcium carbonate bridging agent, and temperature stabilizer for temperature above 240 ⁰F. 140 This drill-in-fluid and breaker did not show significant permeability reduction on the Berea 141 sandstone core (Belizário et al., 2019). 142

Although practical investigations and recent advancements in applying enzymes with other 143 chemicals and solvents to improve breaking water-based drilling fluid filter cake have been 144 reported (Al-Otaibi et al., 2004, Elkatany et al., 2012 and 2017), limited investigations have 145 been done for deep cleaning and removing associated formation damage. It must be mentioned 146

that removing selective polymer in filter cake was discussed in the literature without 147 considering secondary formation damage due to drilling fluid filtration. Also, the authors did 148 not find any reported investigations into the application of nanoparticles in conjunction with 149 an enzyme for the well clean-up practice. Immobilization of enzymes with nanoparticles 150 (nanobiocatalysts) has been introduced in environmental remediation, biosensing, 151 biomedicine, and industrial biocatalysis (Kim, J. et al. 2008). Nanobiocatalysts have shown 152 improved fluid stability, recyclability, and reusability than free enzymes (Jing An et al., 2020). 153 Nanomaterials offer unique advantages such as a large surface area, increased mechanical 154 strength, effective enzyme loading, and high catalytic efficiency (Gahlout, M. et al. 2017). 155 Also, nanostructured supports reduces mass transfer limitation, ease of surface modifications, 156 unique geometry, and size/shape-dependent characteristics (Jing An et al., 2020). The activity 157 enhancement is obtained by immobilization mechanisms usually associated with the alterations 158 in the enzyme structure. Favorable interaction between the enzyme and the nanostructured 159 support is categorized into the following mechanisms; ion activation, morphological, 160 temperature effect, enhanced electron transfer conformational modulation. The morphology of 161 nanoscale supports significantly impacts enzyme activities and bio-nano catalysts' stability 162 (Kunduru, K. R. et al. 2017). Recently, enzyme activity enhancement by enzyme-163 nanostructured biocatalysts (nanobiocatalysts) was reviewed by An, J. et al. (2020), 164 demonstrating recent advancement in the development of novel nanobiocatalysts with activity 165 enhancement mechanisms, including metal ion activation, electron transfer, morphology 166 effects, mass transfer limitations, and conformation changes (An, J. et al. 2020). 167

This paper presents an innovative clean-up fluid formulation to enhance enzyme-based 168 treatment by immobilizing an enzyme and a selective nanoparticle in different completion 169 brines. Bulk experiments were carried out to optimize the concentration of the enzyme and 170 nanoparticle to reduce precipitation, foam generation, pH range, and improve temperature 171 stability in different brines. HPHT filtration tests were performed to select proper base fluid
for well clean-up fluid. Finally, a Bio-Nano Well Clean-up fluid (BNCW) formulation was
formulated by immobilizing a nominated enzyme and a nanoparticle in potassium chloride
forme. Contact angle and IFT measurements were performed on carbonate rock, and injection
performance was evaluated by core flooding apparatus. The immobilization of the enzyme and
the nanoparticle is the novelty of this innovative well clean-up practice in the drilling and
production industry developed for the first time.

2. Experiment & Methods

2.1. Material

This experimental material includes Starch, ENZ-A, an industrial enzyme from a local supplier, 181 Polymeric water-based drilling fluids, Colloidal nanoparticle, Sodium, Potassium, and Calcium 182 Chloride brine prepared following the API 13I standard (API 13I, 2009). The IODIN test 183 determined ENZ-A enzyme performance to confirm the enzymatic activity. The FTIR test 184 confirmed the material structure of both drilling starch and enzyme. Additives and polymers 185 were used based on API 13I specifications to mix polymeric WBM in Table 1 using a Hamilton 186 beach mixer model HMD200. Colloidal nanoparticle solution was prepared at the 187 concentration of 15% W/V by dispersing a selective nanoparticle in a surfactant solution by 188 the ultrasonic device. Well clean-up fluids formulations were formulated by mixing ENZ-A 189 1% V/V (WELL-CLEAN I) in water (Table 2) and immobilizing ENZ-A with colloidal 190 nanoparticle solution (WELL-CELAN II) in Table 3. The polydispersity of the material was 191 evaluated by measuring the particle size distribution test. 192

193

179

180

Table 1

Polymeric Water-Based Drilling Fluid Formulation

Material Name	Function	Concentration	
Water	Based Fluid	78% V/V	
NaCl Salt	Weighting Agent	8% W/V	
KCl Salt	Weighting Agent	7% W/V	
Soda Ash	Hardness Controller < 400 ppm	0.5 ppb	
Caustic Soda	pH Controller: Adjust to 9.5	0.25 ppb	
Drilling Starch	Fluid Loss Controller	8.0 ppb	
Poly Anionic Cellulose Low Viscosity	Fluid Loss Controller	1.5 ppb	
Xanthan Gum	Viscosifier	0.5 ppb	
Calcium Carbonate 50 mic	Weighting and Bridging Agent	Adjust mud weight to 1.3 SG	

Table 2

WELL-CLEAN I: Enzyme Based Well Clean Up Fluid Formulation

Material Name	Function	Concentration (%W/V)
Water	Base Fluid	99
ENZ-A Enzyme	Polymer Degradation	1

Table 3

WELL-CLEAN II: Immobilized Enzyme and Nanoparticle-Based Well Clean Up Fluid Formulation

Material Name	Function	Concentration (%V/V)
Water	Base Fluid	
WELL-CLEAN I (ENZ-A Enzyme Solution 1%W/V)	Polymer Degradation	0.1
Colloidal Nanoparticle Solution (15% W/V)	Enzymatic Catalyst &Wettability alteration	0.1

2.2. Experimental Design and Methods

test, rheology studies, and HPHT filtration evaluation.	206
2.2.1. Material Analysis	207
FTIR spectra of the amylose starch and the sample structures confirmed the specifications,	208
which have been described in Appendix.	209
2.2.2. Bulk Test Experiment	210
Series of bulk tests were carried out, including visual tests (foam generation and precipitation),	211
pH effects, Iodine test, temperature stability, and viscosity measurement. Viscosities were	212
measured by an OFITE dial viscometer 8-speed with +/- 1% measurement uncertainty. This	213
viscometer has an R1 Rotor Sleeve, B1 Bob, F1 Torsion Spring, and a stainless steel sample	214
cup for testing according to API (American Petroleum Institute Recommended Practice for	215
Field Testing Water-Based Drilling Fluids, API RP 13B-1/ISO 10414-1 Specification)". The	216
test fluid is contained in the annular space (shear gap) between an outer cylinder and the bob	217
(inner cylinder) and measured the shear stress caused by a given shear rate. The viscosity in	218
centipoise (or millipascal second) of fluid is indicated on the dial with the standard rotor R1,	219
bob B1, and torsion spring F1 operating 300 rpm. The particle size distribution test confirmed	220
the scale of nanoparticles.	221
2.2.3. HPHT Filtration	222

In this study, experiments consist of four main steps; material analysis and verification, bulk

To evaluate the removal performance of formulated well clean-up fluid at downhole condition, 223 the filter cake of WBM sample presented in Table, and OFITE HPHT Filtration cell was 224 utilized based on API 13 procedure. After exiting WBM filtrate in 30 min, the extra mud was 225 removed from the HPHT cell, and the formulated well clean-up fluids were filled in the HPHT 226 cell. The well clean-up fluid was exposed to filter cake at temperatures $120 \, {}^{0}\text{C}$ and $100 \, \text{Psi}$ 227 differential pressure for 6 hours, and the retained filtration was collected and measured versus 228 time to evaluate the filter cake breaking time. 229

2.2.4. Filter Cake SEM Evaluation

After performing the HPHT test on the exposed filter cake with the formulation well clean-up231fluid, SEM Scan was used to investigate filter cake morphology alteration and the remaining232nanoparticles in the filter cake structure.233

2.2.5 Contact Angel and IFT Measurement

The pendant drop method measured contact angle and IFT to evaluate the wettability changes 235 and interfacial tension of the formulated well clean-up fluids. The core slices were saturated 236 with reservoir oil samples for four weeks before measurement. 237

2.2.6 Core Flooding Test

Core flooding experiments were conducted on three carbonate cores from an oil reservoir by 239 utilizing a core flood unit (Fig. 1). Oil and water samples were from the oilfield. The core 240 permeability measured at reservoir temperature, and the differential pressure between 100 and 241 500 applied to the core sample. The permeability of the core was determined by averaging over 242 three core flood flow tests: K = 36.2 + -1.6 (mD) 243

230

238



Fig 1. Customized Core Flood Unit for Drilling Fluid Formation Damage Measurement and Removal245

3. Experimental Results and Analysis

Designing different bulk experiments verified the enzyme performance and its compatibility 248 with the nanoparticle. 249

3.1. Enzyme Solubility and Stability

Visual inspection confirmed that the ENZ-A enzyme was very soluble in tap water at 251 concentrations of 1, 2.5, and 5% W/V without any precipitation and foam during 24 hours. The 252 1% of ENZ-A enzyme solution (WELL-CLEAN I) showed no phase separation, sedimentation, 253 foam, nor aggregation at different pH levels up to pH 11 from the acidic to the alkaline range. 254 For pH values between 11 and 12, the enzyme solutions have very little precipitation and show 255 some colloidal particles in the solution. Thus, WELL-CLEAN I's pH must be adjusted to 10 to 256 prevent any colloidal particle and sedimentation in the solution. 257

258

244

246

247

3.2. Enzymatic Performance Evaluation by Iodine Test

The Iodine test determined starch degradation after reaction with WELL-CLEAN I. The 260 interaction between starch and triiodide is the basis for iodometry, and the combination of 261 starch and iodine is blue-black. Iodine tests confirmed enzymatic activity in the starch solution 262 (10% W/V) treated by WELL-CLEAN I. Fig. 2 presents the starch solution's white-cream color 263 (10% W/V) without triiodide anion (I-3). After performing iodometry by adding triiodide anion 264 (I⁻³), the starch solution's color was changed to dark blue in 5 minutes up to 24 hours for the 265 test duration. 1% of WELL-CLEAN I was added to the starch solution, and the color of the 266 solution changed to light blue after performing the iodometry test, and it was changed to pick 267 color after 2 hours, which shows the degradation of the starch component by WELL-CLEAN 268 I within two hours. The iodine test continued for a longer time, observing color changes for 6 269 and 24 hours. The enzymatic reaction was completed when the solution color was changed to 270 white-pink in 24 hours. This test confirmed the enzymatic activity and performance of WELL-271 CLEAN I on the starch solution. 272



273

Fig 2. Enzymatic activity observation by Iodine test in starch solution (10% W/V) before (a,b,c) and after274(d,e,f,g) adding WELL-CLEAN I275

3.3. Temperature Stability

ENZ-A enzyme showed complete stability and no phase separation up to 150 °C. Above 150 277 ⁰C, the solution generated colloidal particles and residues. Table 3 shows colloidal aggregation 278 and sedimentation of WELL-CLEAN I at different temperatures. 279

Гab	ole 4							280
Гen	nperatures Stability of V	WELL-O	CLEAN I					281
	Temperature (°C)	25	50	90	120	150	175	
	Colloidal aggregation	NO	NO	Trace	Low	Moderate	High	
	Sedimentation %	NO	NO	Trace	Trace	Low	Low	

3.4. Analysis of Nanoparticle

PSD tests confirmed the size distributions of the nanoparticle. SEM was utilized to investigate 284 the crystal structure and morphology of the nanoparticle. The morphologic graph was shown 285 in Fig. 3 indicates that the particles are spherical, and the average particle sizes were 286 approximately 20 - 40 nm, which confirms the Nano range of particles. 287



Fig. 3. Structure and morphology of nanoparticles by SEM

288

289 290

276

282

The 36 ppb (10% W/V) starch solution was mixed using a Hamilton beach mixer model	292
HMD200 as the base fluid of WBM. The solution's viscosity was measured before and after	293
adding various well clean-up fluid formulations with different brine types using an OFITE dial	294
viscometer 8-speed with +/- 1% measurement uncertainty described in section 2.2.2. It should	295
be noted that due to the non-Newtonian behavior of the starch solution before and after WELL-	296
CLEAN I injection, all viscosity measured at the same dial reading at 300 RPM. Three types	297
of brines with different concentrations, including sodium chloride (12.5% and 25% W/V),	298
Calcium Chloride (36% and 20% W/V), and Potassium chloride (7% W/V), were selected as	299
the conventional completion fluids. These types of brines were used as the base of well clean-	300
up fluid mixed with 0.1% V/V of WELL-CLEAN I. Prepared well clean-up fluids were injected	301
into the starch solution to evaluate the enzymatic performance by reducing the viscosity. The	302
viscosities were decreased significantly within 30 to 60 minutes at a temperature of 25 ^{0}C	303
(Fig. 4). This result demonstrates that brines have stimulated the biodegradation of starch and	304
have no adverse effect on enzyme performance in WELL-CLEAN I generally. Also, WELL-	305
CLEAN I has a higher viscosity reduction in Calcium Chloride 36% and 20% W/V than other	306
monovalent brines. Due to the higher activity of Ca ²⁺ ions, calcium ions may have a higher	307
reaction with the anionic branches in the polymeric structure of starch and neutralize the ionic	308
charges that can reduce the viscosity of the solution, while monovalent brines such as NaCl	309
and KCl has lower ionic exchange capacity in the solution. All of the brines had the same effect	310
on viscosity reduction after 24 hours, and the viscosity of the starch solution decreased by more	311
than 90%.	312



Fig. 4. The Viscosity measurement at 25 °C of Starch solutions 36 ppb (10% W/V) in reaction with WELL-314CLEAN I in different brines (Sodium Chloride 12.5% and 25%, Potassium Chloride 7% and Calcium315Chloride 20% and 36%. Viscosity measured by Ofite dial viscometer (standard rotor R1, bob B1, and316torsion spring F1) at RPM 300 equivalent to centipoise (cP)317

318

319

3.6 Viscosity Measurement of Bio-Nano Based Well Clean-Up Fluid

WELL-CLEAN II was prepared by mixing 0.1% V/V of WELL-CLEAN I (ENZ-A enzyme 320 solution 1%W/V) and 0.1% V/V of the colloidal nanoparticle solution 15%W/V presented in 321 Table 3. The performance of WELL-CLEAN II in different brines with various concentrations, 322 including sodium chloride (12.5% and 25% W/V), Calcium Chloride (36% and 20% W/V), 323 and Potassium chloride (7% W/V) in 25 °C is presented in Fig 5. The viscosity of the starch 324 solution 10% W/V decreased after injection of WELL-CLEAN II at temperature 25 °C 325 temperature gradually. It should be noted that due to the non-Newtonian behavior of the starch 326 solution before and after WELL-CLEAN II injection, all viscosity measured at the same dial 327 reading at 300 RPM. Calcium chloride brine 20% W/V showed the highest performance and 328 reduced the viscosity within 30 minutes compared to other brines. Sodium chloride brine has 329

the lowest performance. Within 24 hours, the viscosities of starch solutions dropped to 90% of330the initial value in all types of brines.331



Fig. 5. The Viscosity measurement at 25 °C of Starch solutions 36 ppb (10% W/V) in reaction with 1% V/V333of WELL-CLEAN II (Bio-Nano Well Clean Up Fluid) in different brines (Sodium Chloride 12.5% and33425%, Potassium Chloride 7% and Calcium Chloride 20% and 36%. Viscosity measured by Ofite dial335viscometer (standard rotor R1, bob B1, and torsion spring F1) at RPM 300 equivalent to centipoise (cP)336

337

Comparing the viscosity reduction after adding colloidal nanoparticles in WELL-CLEAN II 338 with WELL-CLEAN I showed a smooth reaction in the solution by reducing the direct reaction 339 of ENZ-A enzyme with starch at ambient temperature. Comparing viscosities of WELL-340 CLEAN I and II showed that both clean-up fluids were efficient in calcium chloride brine 20% 341 and had the same breaking trend within 30 min. Calcium chloride brines at both concentrations 342 showed the highest reduction in viscosity within 30 minutes compared to other brines. After 343 24 hours, the viscosity of starch solutions reduced by more than 90% in all types of brines. 344 Because the application of well clean-up fluid is at reservoir temperature, biodegradability 345 performance must be evaluated at HPHT condition, discussed in the following section. It is 346

also very important to highlight that viscosity measurement is the initial bulk test	t for 347
understanding WELL-CLEAN I & II effect on starch solution but it is not key indicator fo	r the 348
final decision on the viscosity changes.	349

3.7 Performance Evaluation of Designed Well Clean Up Fluids on Filter Cake Removal in HPHT condition 350

The performance of formulated WELL-CLEAN II in Table 3 at downhole condition was 352 measured by using an Ofite HPHT filtration device describe in section 2.2.3 at temperatures 353 120 °C and 100 Psi differential pressure for 6 hours, and the retained filtration was collected 354 and measured versus time to evaluate the filter cake breaking time. In Fig. 6, the HPHT 355 filtration rate of the enzyme-based well clean-up fluid (WELL-CLEAN I) and immobilized 356 enzyme and nanoparticle (WELL-CLEAN II) were collected and benchmarked in different 357 brines. WELL-CLEAN I and II, mixed in sodium chloride brine 12.5% W/V, had the same 358 HPHT filtration rate and volume within the first hours. After the first hour, the HPHT filtration 359 rate and volume of WELL-CLEAN II increased gradually. The effect of immobilization of 360 enzyme and nanoparticle was observed in the semi-saturated sodium chloride brine clearly as 361 the filtration rate and volume are doubled after 6 hours. By increasing the sodium chloride 362 saturation to 25 % W/V, well clean-up fluid performance was reduced significantly (Fig. 6A). 363 This reduction of filtration rate in saturated sodium chloride brine is due to the higher Na⁺ ions 364 in the fluid that can reduce the reaction of the enzyme and nanoparticles. It must be mentioned 365 that higher and lower concentrations of WELL-CLEAN II at 0.5 and 3% were tested, but there 366 was no effect on filter cake breaking and filtration performance. Using potassium chloride 7% 367 W/V as the base fluid showed that the filtration volume and rate of WELL-CLEAN II at the 368 concentration of 1% V/V are higher than WELL-CLEAN I. Repeating the test three times 369 shows that the results were satisfactory. WELL-CLEAN II, formulated by enzyme and Nano 370 additives, impacts filtration rate significantly and increases the filtration volume from 41 to 371

121 cc within six hours (Fig. 6B). Other concentrations at 0.5 and 3 % V/V of WELL-CLEAN 372 II do not show any specific impact on the blank sample. Performance of WELL-CLEAN II in 373 calcium chloride brine 20 and 36 % W/V was low, and filtration rate and volume did not change 374 remarkably compared to the blank sample (Fig. 6C). This result shows that divalent brines can 375 reduce the activity of both enzymes and nanoparticles due to the higher ionic charges. Other 376 WELL-CLEAN II concentrations at 0.5 and 3% V/V did not significantly improve the filtration 377 rate compared with WELL-CLEAN I and blank sample. They demonstrated a direct 378 relationship between the divalent ions and nanoparticles in WELL-CLEAN II as the 379 performance of WELL-CLEAN I is much higher and effective. Despite that the viscosity 380 measurement of different well clean-up fluids in calcium chloride brine confirmed that the 381 nanoparticle could dramatically decrease viscosity, WELL-CLEAN II in potassium chloride 382 enhanced the filtration rate because of the stability of formulated well clean-up fluid in higher 383 temperature. This result is essential for designing completion fluid and selecting well clean up 384 fluid. Based on the achieved results, it is understandable that the brine type in completion fluid 385 plays an important role in well clean-up fluid performance. Immobilized well clean-up fluid 386 must be prepared in low saline brine to remain active for penetrating the filter cake porous 387 media and assisting the ENZ-A enzyme to enter the filter layer of the filter cake by increasing 388 the surface area of the reaction. Saturated brines reduce nanoparticles' activity by adsorption 389 and coagulation of the ENZ-A enzyme and prevent proper reaction with filter cake polymer 390 content. This coagulation can block the porous media of the filter cake and act as a barrier. 391

392

393

394



Fig. 6. HPHT Filtration after Injecting WELI-CLEAN I and II with A) Sodium Chloride Brine (12.5 & 25 %W/V), B)397Potassium Chloride Brine (7 %W/V), C) Calcium Chloride Brine (20 & 36 % W/V)398

3.8. SEM Evaluation of HPHT Filtration and Filter Cake

The immobilized enzyme and colloidal nanoparticle (WELL-CLEAN II) in potassium chloride 403 brine 7% W/V called Bio-Nano Well Clean Up Fluid (BNWC) was screened as a high-404 performance and optimum well clean up formulation. The exposed filter cake with BNWC was 405 evaluated by the SEM method. SEM analysis showed that the filter cake contained calcium, 406 oxygen, and carbon ions with a coagulated nanoparticle trace (Fig 7). The filter cake surface 407 area is firm and flat with very low porosity before injecting BNWC (Fig. 7A). The filter cake 408 porosity and permeability increased after BNWC injection (Fig. 7B), which indicates a high 409 reaction between the filter cake and the well clean-fluid. The immobilized enzyme with the 410 nanoparticle acted as a catalyst to speed up the reaction. 411



Fig. 7. SEM evaluation of Filter Cake before (A) and after (B) exposing to WELL-CLEAN II with	412
Potassium Chloride Brine (BNWC)	413
PSD analysis was performed on the filtered brine passed from the filter cake. The PSD analysis	414
presented in Fig. 8 showed that the average size is around 243 nm higher than nanoparticles,	415
which is evidence of nanoparticles' coagulation with filter cake components during the reaction	416
phase.	417

418



 Fig. 8. Particle size distribution of HPHT filtration after injecting WELL-CLEAN II with Potassium
 420

 Chloride Brine (BNWC)
 421

3.9. Wettability and IFT Measurement

Table 4 shows the contact angle measurement and wettability type, using the classification 423 presented by Iglauer et al. (2015). The contact angles were measured in well clean-up filtrate 424 containing KCl (7% W/V) with saturated carbonate rock in selected oil. The result shows that 425 the carbonate rock is intermediate wet in the presence of KCl brine (7% W/V), and it has more 426 tendency to very weakly oil-wet after exposure to enzyme-based well clean up fluid/filtrate. 427 By increasing the contact angle, there is a possibility of secondary formation. For the 428 immobilized enzyme with nanoparticle well clean up fluid, the carbonate rock's wettability 429 changed to strongly water-wet, which means that the BNWC filtrate can adhere to the rock 430 surface and reduce the rock oil wettability. This wettability alteration is a valuable achievement 431 to reduce the secondary formation damage associated with WELL-CLEAN I as a conventional 432 enzyme-based well clean-up fluid. The main mechanism of wettability alteration is the 433 decoration of nanoparticles on the rock surface, enhancing the water absorption by increasing 434 the area/volume ratio and polar groups' availability in nanoparticles. For comprehensive 435

422

investigation, IFT measurement was performed to evaluate the fluid trapping potential in 436 reservoirs' pore structures due to the capillary force. Table 5 shows the oil/water interfacial 437 tension in the presence and absence of WELL-CLEAN I and WELL-CLEAN II at 25 °C. 438 Compared to the blank sample, IFT between the oil and the enzyme-based well clean-up 439 (WELL-CLEAN I) decreased from 7.77 to 3.02 N/m² while IFT returned to 6.03 N/m² after 440 injecting WELL-CLEAN II. The adsorption of the enzyme at the oil/water interface leads to 441 the reduction of IFT, and it depends on several factors, such as particle wettability and 442 dissolved oil in the water phase. This reduction of IFT is good when flooding the oil with other 443 fluids such as low saline water in EOR application in injection wells, but it can increase the 444 invaded zone, contamination, clean up fluid, and increase the damage zone near the wellbore. 445 IFT of BNWC can decrease slightly compared to the drilling fluid filtrate (Potassium Chloride 446 Brine 7% W/V), increasing the potential of emulsification with the reservoir fluid, leading to 447 dispersion of the oil from rock surfaces and change the rock surface to water-wet. As a result, 448 forming the oil-in-water emulsion can increase the aqueous phase's viscosity and improve the 449 reservoir's mobility control and oil productivity performance. Sometimes, this emulsification 450 leads to the pore throats' blockage, impairs the formation's permeability, especially in low-451 permeability reservoirs (Feng, X. et al. 2011). 452

Table 4

454

Sample	Contact Angle		Wettability
	Left Side	Right Side	
Blank (KCl Brine)	97.86	100.75	Intermediate wet (Filtrate & Oil)
Blank + WELL CLEAN I	107.98	107.53	Intermediate to weekly Oil wet
Blank + WELL-CLEAN II	52.13	52.74	Strongly Water Wet (well clean up filtrate wet)

The Contact angle measurement in Well Clean-Up Filtrate with KCl 7% W/V / Oil / Carbonate Rock

Sample	IFT (N/m ²)
Blank (KCl Brine)	7.77
Blank + WC I	3.02
Blank + WC I&II	6.03

Interfacial Tension Measurement for WELL-CLEAN I & II compared to the blank sample.

457

3.10. Core Flooding Experiment

Core flooding tests were conducted on the nominated core at a temperature adjusted at 200 ^oF 459 and a differential pressure range from 0 - 500 psi. The initial permeability of cores was 460 measured by injecting KCl brine 7% (W/V), and it was calculated based on Darcy's law. Then, 461 the cores were damaged by injecting drilling fluid formulation in Table 1, and the rates of 462 drilling fluid filtration were recorded in different pressure and flow rates within 6 hours. The 463 filtration rate increased rapidly after injecting the drilling fluid into the core within the first 30 464 min and decreased gradually to a constant rate. Equivalent to 3.2 and 2.7 pore volumes were 465 injected into core no. 1 and 2, respectively, to reach a constant rate within 5 hours. Fig. 9 shows 466 the invasion rates of drilling fluid injection during the damage process. The permeabilities were 467 measured based on the invaded filtration of drilling fluid for core no. 1 and 2 calculated 4.8 468 and 3.58 mD, respectively, which is more than 90% comparing to initial permeability. After 469 injecting 1% of WELL-CLEAN I in KCl 7%W/V and BNWC (WELL-CLEAN II in KCl 470 7% W/V), the injection rate gradually increased the well clean-up process, as shown in Fig. 10. 471 Both well clean-up fluids had the same injection rate before 2 hours, but BNWC increased the 472 injection rate into the core to 0.3 cc/min significantly compared to the WELL-CLEAN I 's 473 solution with a 0.1 cc/min. The performance of BNWC proved the HPHT filtration 474 performance presented previously. Also, BNWC showed a smooth trend in increasing the 475 injection rate, which is not achievable during WELL-CLEAN I injection. 476

458



Fig. 9. Core damaging process by WBM injection into the carbonate core	478
(Confining Pressure : 1000 psi, Pressure : 0 - 350 psi, Temperature : 200 ⁰ F)	479



Fig. 10. Well clean up injection into the carbonate core

The last experiment was performed on core no. 3 to measure the initial permeability, damage
the core, and clean the core by injecting BNWC. After injecting the drilling fluid into the core,
the filtration rate increased rapidly within the first 30 min and decreased gradually to a constant
484

rate around 0.01 cc/min, as shown in Fig. 11. The initial increase in flow rate was related to 485 drilling fluids invasion due to pressure build-up, and the flow rate decreased after 30 min due 486 to filter cake formation on the core face. The core's permeability was reduced to 1.48 mD, 487 which means 96% damage comparing to the initial permeability. BNWC was injected into the 488 core, and injection rates were recorded versus time to remove the filter cake damage. The core 489 flooding test results are summarized in Fig. 11. The core flooding test showed that the injection 490 rate increases significantly, and the permeability increased from 1.48 to 18.33 mD, which 491 removed 50% of the damage from the core. This core flooding experiment proved that the 492 BNWC could remove filter cake on the core face successfully. 493



494

Fig. 11. Core damaging process by the drilling fluid injection into the carbonate core.

496 497



498

Fig. 12. Core damaging process by the drilling fluid injection into the carbonate core

499

Based on the core flooding test results, Bio-Nano Well Clean-Up (BNWC), an immobilized 500 enzyme with a nanoparticle in KCl brine 7%W/V, was finalized as a high-performance well 501 clean-up fluid formulation. Although the enzymatic activity already degrades the drilling fluid 502 polymers, specifically starch structure, the immobilization process, which combines enzyme 503 and nanoparticle, further enhanced the ENZ-A enzyme's biological activity. Based on the 504 nanobiocatalysts literature discussed in the introduction section of this paper, enough 505 justification can be provided to interpret the reaction and associated mechanisms behind the 506 enhanced activities of bio-nano catalysts in WELL-CLEAN II formulation. Immobilization of 507 the ENZ-A enzyme with the selected nanoparticle improves the stability and resistance at 508 higher temperatures due to the crosslinking with the enzyme's protein amino surface. 509 Adsorption of nanoparticle particles on the ENZ-A enzyme structure forms molecular 510 complexes that act as a catalyst. The catalytic activity increases, likely due to their higher 511 surface-to-volume ratios and dramatically reduced mass transfer limitations, as enzymes have 512 a greater possibility to react with the enzyme-substrate (An, J. et al. 2020). The high catalytic 513 activity can be obtained via either covalent bonding, adsorption, entrapment, or encapsulation. 514 The nanoparticle morphology provides a large surface area for increased enzyme loading and 515 reduced diffusion resistance. Immobilization based on metal ion activity does not apply to 516 WELL-CLEAN II as it is not a metal-based nanoparticle. 517

Higher enzymatic activity of WELL-CLEAN II comparing to WELL-CELAN I in KCl brine 518 can be related to the electron/charge transfer effect (An, J. et al. 2020). This process loses the 519 enzyme's protein structure and helps the enzyme extract electrons from the substrates. The 520 temperature effect seems an important factor affecting enzymatic activity, as observed in the 521 HPHT filtration test. Enhancing the activity of immobilized enzyme as a result of temperature 522 has been reported by Rodrigues, R.C. et al. (2013). Nanostructured can absorb 523 light/electromagnetic waves and convert them into heat for promoting the activities of 524 nanobiocatalysts (An, J. et al. 2020). 525

The conformational change of immobilized enzyme is another mechanism that can also 526 improve the enzyme's catalytic activity by nanostructure. This mechanism can be seen as an 527 immobilization process of the enzyme with the nanoparticle in calcium chloride brine. Cations 528 can be an effector to bind at an enzyme allosteric site, leading to the enzyme molecules' 529 activation. It must be considered that all conditions which may affect the enzyme activity can 530 also decrease the immobilization process, which reduces the catalytic performance. The pH of 531 the base fluid of well clean up fluid is mixed plays an important role that increases or decreases 532 catalytic activity in different brine types with different pH when mixing with a well clean up 533 fluid such as sodium chloride and calcium chloride brine. The immobilized enzyme at a 534 temperature above the optimal conditions may significantly reduce enzyme activity. 535

4. Conclusion

536

In this study, advanced modification of conventional enzyme-based well clean-up was 537 investigated to enhance WBM filter cake removal by immobilizing enzyme with colloidal 538

nanoparticle in potassium chloride brine 7% W/V as Bio-Nano Well Clean Up fluid (BNWC). 539Based on the experimental results, the following conclusions are achieved: 540

- Enzyme-based well clean-up is a proper selective method for removing WBM filter
 cake, but it requires specific consideration to formulate based on polymer content in the
 filter cake, downhole temperature, completion fluid type, base fluid type, and alkalinity.
 543
- 2- Although enzyme-based well clean-up is mixed in the completion fluid, the
 544 experiments showed that base fluid is very important for mixing this type of well clean 545 up while considering both enzymatic activity and secondary formation damage
 546 associated with completion fluid.
- 3- The immobilization of the enzyme with nanoparticles enhanced the viscosity reduction 548 of starch content solution, specifically in calcium chloride brine 20% W/V significantly. 549 However, HPHT and core flooding experiments at reservoir conditions in 200 ⁰F 550 showed higher enzymatic activity in potassium chloride brine 7% W/V. 551
- 4- Bio-Nano Based Well Clean Up Fluid (BNWC) could increase the filtration rate in the
 HPHT test by 90 percent and enhance the injection rate in core flooding device by three
 times.
- 5- Contact angle measurement showed that BNWC changed the carbonate rock's 555 wettability to strongly water-wet and reduce the rock oil wettability. Also, minor IFT 556 changes were observed between BNWC and selected core comparing to WBM based 557 brine. 558
- 6- Extending Bio-Nano Science application from other industries into the drilling and 559 completion fluid process has been performed for the first time and developed an 560 innovative catalyst to enhance enzymatic activity in the well clean-up process. It is also 561 required more investigation on the rheological model and behavior of this fluid in order 562 to have proper correlations for scientific investigation. 563

It is highly recommended to all researchers involved in chemical flooding for stimulation, 564 production enhancement, and EOR/IOR applications to use the advantage of Bio-Nano science 565 for further research and development. 566

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to acknowledge the Institutes of Petroleum Engineering (IPE), the 568 University of Tehran, and Pars Drilling Fluids Co. to support this research project. We would 569 also like to have special thanks from Dr. Gerald Meeten for reviewing the paper and his 570 valuable feedback. 571

Appendix

572

567

The spectrum of drilling starch in Fig 1 shows identical major reflection peaks that correspond573to different functional groups' vibration. The FTIR spectra of starch typically show bands at5743300-3600 cm-1(OH stretching), 2900–3000 cm⁻¹ (C H stretching), 1100–1200 cm⁻¹ (C O, C575C, and C O H stretching), and 1100–900 cm⁻¹ (C O H bending) which confirmed the starch576structure (Warren et al., 2016). The spectrum of the ENZ-A enzyme in Fig 2 shows bands at5773200-3300 cm⁻¹, 2900–3000 cm⁻¹, 2000–2200 cm⁻¹, and 1000–1200 cm⁻¹, which confirmed578alpha-ENZ-A structure (Krieg et al., 1997).579



Fig. 1. FTIR spectra of drilling starch



Fig. 2. FTIR spectra of ENZ-A enzyme

References

585 Al-Otaibi, M.B., Al-Moajil, A.M. and Nasr-El-Din, H.A. 2006. "In-Situ Acid System To Clean Up Drill-in Fluid 586 Damage in High-Temperature Gas Wells." IADC/SPE Asia Pacific Drilling Technology Conference and 587 Exhibition. Bangkok, Thailand: IADC/SPE. 588 Siddigui, M.A., Al-Anazi, H.A., Al-Ansari, A.A., Bataweel, M.A. and Hembling D.E. 2006. "Evaluation of Acid 589 Precursor-Enzyme System for Filter-Cake Removal by a Single-Stage Treatment." SPE Europe/EAGE 590 Annual Conference and Exhibition. Vienna, Austria: Society of Petroleum Engineers. 591 Al-Otaibi, M. B., Nasr-El-Din, H. A. and Siddiqui, M. A. 2004. "Wellbore Clean-up by Water Jetting and Specific 592 Enzyme Treatments in Multilateral Wells: A Case Study." IADC/SPE Drilling Conference. Dallas, Texas, 593 U.S.A: IADC/SPE Drilling Conference. 594 Al-Otaibi, M.B., Nasr-El-Din, H.A., and Siddiqui, M.A. 2004. "Chemical Treatments to Enhance Productivity of 595 Horizontal and Multilateral Wells: Lab Studies and Case Histories." 14th Symposium on Improved Oil 596 Recovery. Tulsa, Oklahoma: Society of Petroleum Engineering. 597 An, J., Li, G., Zhang, Y., Zhang, T., Liu, X., Gao, F., Peng, M., He, Y. and Fan, H. 2020. "Recent Advances in 598 Enzyme-Nanostructure Biocatalysts with Enhanced Activity." Catalysts (MDPI) 10: 338. 599 Beall, B., Brannon H.D., Tjon, J.P and O'Driscoll, K. 1996. "Evaluation of a New Technique For Removing 600 Horizontal Wellbore Damage Attributable to Drill-In Filter Cake." SPE Annual Technical Conference & 601 Exhibition. Denver, USA: Society of Petroleum Engineers. 13. 602 Bisweswar Ghosh, B., AlCheikh, I., Ghosh, D. and Osisanya, S. 2019. "Towards a Zero-Skin Well Completion with 603 Non-Damaging Non-CorrosiveEnzymatic Wellbore Cleanup Fluids." Abu Dhabi International Petroleum 604 Exhibition & Conference. Society of Petroleum Engineers. 605 Brady, M.E., Ali, S.A., Price-Smith, C., Sehgal, G., Hill, D. and Parlar, M. 2000. "Near Wellbore Cleanup in 606 Openhole Horizontal Sand Control Completions: Laboratory Experiments." International Symposium 607 on Formation Damage in Lafayette, Louisiana. Society of Petroleum Engineers Inc. 608 Brannon, H. D and Tjon-Joe-Pin, R. M. 1994. "Biotechnological Breakthrough Improves Performance of 609 Moderate to High Temperature Fracturing Applications." SPE Annual Technical Conference and 610 Exhibition. New Orleans: Society of Petroleum Engineers (SPE). 611

582 583

Burnett, D. B. 1995. "Using a Physical Wellbore Model to Study Formation Damage Problems in Well Completions." (SPE Drilling and Completion) 61.	612 613
Civan, F. 2007. "Optimal Scheduling of Well Treatment in Commingled Formations Undergoing Near-Wellbore Damage." <i>European Formation Damage Conference.</i> Scheveningen, The Netherlands: Society of Petroleum Engineers.	614 615 616
Collins, N., Nzeabide, K. and Almond S. 2011. "Environmentally Friendly Filtercake Removal System." 2011 AADE National Technical Conference and Exhibition. Houston, Texas: American Association of Drilling Engineers.	617 618 619
 Daniel, S., Morris, L., Chen, Y., Brady M. E., and B.R. Lungwitz, George, L., Kranenburg, V. K., Ali, S.A., Twynam A. and Parlar, M. 2002. "New Visco-Elastic Surfactant Formulations Extend Simultaneous Gravel-Packing and Cake-Cleanup Technique to Higher-Pressure and Higher-Temperature Horizontal Open-Hole Completions: Laboratory Development and a Field Case History From the North Sea." <i>International Symposium and Exhibition on Formation Damage Control.</i> Lafayette, Louisiana: Society of Petroleum Engineers Inc. 	620 621 622 623 624 625
Elkatatny, S.M., Mahmoud, M.A., and Nasr-El-Din, H.A. 2012. "Characterization of filter cake generated by water-based drilling fluids using CT scan." <i>SPE Drilling and Completion</i> (Society of Petroleum Engineers) 27 (2): 282 - 293.	626 627 628
Feng, X., Xiao, G., Wang, W., et al. 2011. "Case study: numerical simulation of surfactant flooding in low permeability oil field." SPE Enhanced Oil Recovery Conference. Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia: SPE.	629 630
Gahlout, M., Rudakiya, D. M., Gupte, Sh. and Gupte, A. 2017. "Laccase-conjugated amino-functionalized nanosilica for efficient degradation of Reactive Violet 1 dye." <i>Int Nano Lett</i> (Springer) 7: 195–208.	631 632
Ghasemian, J., Riahi, S., Ayatollahi, Sh., Mokhtari, R. 2019. "Effect of salinity and ion type on formation damage due to inorganic scale deposition and introducing optimum salinity." <i>Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering</i> (ELSEVIER B.V.) 177: 279-281.	633 634 635
Hemant K. J. Ladva, Parlar, M., Colin Price-Smith, Lindsay J. Fraser, Syed A. Ali. 1998. "Mechanisms of Sand Control Screen Plugging From Drill-In Fluids and its Clean-up Using Acid, Oxidizers and Enzyme Breakers." <i>International Symposium on Formation Damage Control.</i> Lafayette, Louisiana: Society of Petroleum Engineers.	636 637 638 639
Hodge, R.M., Augustine, B.G., Burton, R.C., Sanders, W.W., and Stomp, D.A. 1997. "Evaluation and Selection of Drill-in-Fluid Candidates to Minimize Formation Damage." SPE Drilling & Completion 12(3): 12 (3): 174-179.	640 641 642
Iglauer, S., Pentland, C.H., Busch, A.,. 2015. "CO2-wettability of seal and reservoir rocks." <i>Water Resource</i> (Wiley) 51: 729–774.	643 644
Kim, J.; Grate, J.W.; Wang, P. 2008. "Nanobiocatalysis and its potential applications." Trends Biotechnol 26.	645
Kunduru, K.R, RajuKutcherlapati, S.N, Arunbabu, D. and Jana, T. 2017. <i>Chapter Seven - Armored Urease:</i> Enzyme-Bioconjugated Poly(acrylamide) Hydrogel as a Storage and Sensing Platform. Vol. 590, in Methods in Enzymology, 143-167. Elsevier.	646 647 648
Leal, A. B., Barroso, A. L., Miranda, X., Flores, L., Medeiros, G., Marcelino, C., Moranezi, L., Pereira, R.A., Oliveira, F.S. and Cândido, H.B. 2019. "Reservoir Drilling and Completion Best Practices: Well Productivity Assessment Applying Drill in Fluid, Chelant/Enzyme Breaker System and Stimulation Design on Onshore Well BHT Scenario in Brazil." <i>Offshore Technology Conference.</i> Houston, Texas.	649 650 651 652
LePage, J.N., De Wolf, C.A., Bemelaar, J.H., and Nasr-El-Din, H.A. 2011. "An Environmentally Friendly Stimulation Fluid for High Temperature Applications." <i>SPE Journal</i> (Society of Petroleum Engineers) 16 (1): 104-110.	653 654 655

Li, L., Ozden, S., Al-Muntasheri, G. A and Liang, F. 2018. "Nanomaterials-Enhanced Hydrocarbon-Based Well	656
Treatment Fluids." <i>SPE International Conference and Exhibition on Formation Damage Control.</i>	657
Lafayette, Louisiana, USA: Society of Petroleum Engineers.	658
Liewa, C.X., Gholami, R., Safari, S., Raza, A., Rabiei, M., Fakhari, N., Rasouli, V., Vettaparambil, J. V. 2019. "A	659
new mud design to reduce formation damage in sandstone reservoirs." <i>Journal of Petroleum Science</i>	660
and Engineering (ELSEVIER B.V.) 181.	661
Luyster, Mark R., Monroe, Terry D. and Ali, Syed A. 2000. "Factors Affecting the Performance of Enzyme	662
Breakers for Removal of Xanthan-Based Filter Cakes." <i>SPE International Symposium on Formation</i>	663
<i>Damage Control</i> . Lafayette, Louisiana: Society of Petroleum Engineers.	664
Madadizadeh, A., Sadeghien A., Riahi, S. 2020. "The use of nanotechnology to prevent and mitigate fine migration: a comprehensive review." <i>Review in Chemical Engineering.</i>	665 666
Mahmoud, M.A., Nasr-El-Din, H.A., Wolf, C.D., LePage, J.N., and Bemelaar, J.H. 2011. "Evaluation of a New	667
Environmentally Friendly Chelating Agent for High-Temperature Applications." (SPE Journal) 16 (3).	668
Mohammadi M. and Riahi, S. 2020. "Experimental Investigation of Water Incompatibility and Rock/Fluid and Fluid/Fluid Interactions in the Absence and Presence of Scale Inhibitors." (Society of Petroleum Engineers - SPE Journal).	669 670 671
Nasrallah, M. and Vinci, M. 2018. "New Filter-Cake Breaker Technology Maximizes Production Rates by	672
Removing Near-Wellbore Damage Zone with Delay Mechanism Designed for High Temperature	673
Reservoirs: Offshore Abu Dhabi." <i>SPE Asia Pacific Oil & Gas Conference and Exhibition.</i> Brisbane,	674
Australia: Society of Petroleum Engineers.	675
Nasr-El-Din, H.A, Al-Otaibi, M. B., Al-Qahtani, A. A. and Samuel, M. 2004. "An Effective Fluid Formulation to	676
Remove Drilling Fluid Mud Cake in Horizontal and Multi-lateral Wells." <i>ADC/SPE Asia Pacific Drilling</i>	677
<i>Technology Conference and Exhibition</i> . Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia: IADC/SPE Asia Pacific Drilling	678
Technology.	679
Navarrete, R. C., Dearing, H. L., Constien, V. G., Marsaglia, K. M., Seheult, J. M. and Rodgers, P.E. 2000.	680
"Experiments in Fluid Loss and Formation Damage with Xanthan-Based Fluids While Drilling."	681
IADC/SPE Asia Pacific Drilling Technology. Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia: Society of Petroleum Engineers.	682
Palla, C., Weaver, J. D., Benoit, D., Lu, Z., and Vera, N. 2014. "Impact of Surfactants on Fracture Fluid	683
Recovery." <i>Society of Petroleum Engineers</i> . Abu Dhabi, UAE: Society of Petroleum Engineers.	684
Parlar, M., Tibbles, R.J., Chang, F.F., Fu, D., Morris, L., Davison, M., Vinod, P.S., and Wierenga, A. 1998.	685
"Laboratory Development of a Novel, Simultaneous Cake-Cleanup and Gravel-Packing System for	686
Long, Highly-Deviated or Horizontal Open-Hole Completions." <i>European Petroleum Conference</i> .	687
Hague, The Netherlands: Society of Petroleum Engineers.	688
Price-Smith, C., Bennett, C., Ali, S.A., Hodge, R.M., Burton, R.C., and Parlar, M. 1998. "Open Hole Horizontal	689
Well Cleanup in Sand Control Completions: State of the Art in Field Practice and Laboratory	690
Development." <i>European Petroleum Conference.</i> Hague, The Netherlands: Society of Petroleum	691
Engineers.	692
Ravitz, R., McCarter, M., Taglieri, A., Colazas, G., Montes, E. and Rizkalla, S. 2005. "Active Filter-Cake	693
Technology – Eliminating the Need for Post-Completion Cleanup." <i>SPE European Formation Damage</i>	694
<i>Conference</i> . Scheveningen, The Netherlands: Society of Petroleum Engineers.	695
Rodrigues, R.C.; Ortiz, C.; Berenguer-Murcia, Á.; Torres, R.; Fernández-Lafuente, R. 2013. "Modifying enzyme activity and selectivity by immobilization." <i>Chem. Soc.</i> 42: 6290–6307.	696 697
Shi, X., Xu, H. and Yang, L. 2017. "Removal of formation damage induced by drilling and completion fluids with combination of ultrasonic and chemical technology." <i>Journal of Natural Gas Science and Engineering</i> (Elsevier B.V.) 37: 471-478.	698 699 700

Sun, J. Xu, Z., Li, W. and Shen, X. 2017. "Effect of Nano-SiO2 on the Early Hydration of Alite-Sulphoaluminate	701
Cement." <i>nanomaterials</i> (MDPI) 7 (102).	702
Tran, T. V., and Civan, F. 2007. "Effect of Permeability Impairment by Suspended Particles on Invasion of	703
Drilling Fluids." IADC/SPE Asia Pacific Drilling Technology Conference and Exhibition. Ho Chi Minh City,	704
Vietnam: IADC/SPE Asia Pacific Drilling Technology Conference and Exhibition.	705
W. R. Moore, B. B. Beall, Syed A. Ali. 1996. "Formation Damage Removal Through the Application of Enzyme	706
Breaker Technology." SPE Int. Symposium on Formation Damage Control. Lafayette, USA: Society of	707
Petroleum Engineers, Inc.	708
Zanten, R. and Ezzat, D. 2010. "Surfactant Nanotechnology Offers New Method for Removing Oil-Based Mud	709
Residue to Achieve Fast, Effective Wellbore Cleaning and Remediation." <i>SPE International Symposium</i>	710
<i>and Exhibition on Formation Damage Control.</i> Lafayette, Louisiana, USA: Society of Petroleum	711
Engineers.	712
Zulkeffeli, M. Zain and Mukul, M. Sharma. 1999. "Clean-up of Wall-Building Filter Cakes." SPE Annual Technical	713
Conference and Exhibition. Houston, Texas: Society of Petroleum Engineers Inc.	714
	715